**IRR CLM901 - Expand events that require** **Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192)**

**Background:** Alaska has entered an IRR to the Claims Committee asking that the definition of DN0192 Benefit Payment Issue Date be expanded so it can be required on additional MTC’s. Alaska needs this change to meet their statutory requirements.

Today, the DN0192 Benefit Payment Issue Date is only allowed on MTC’s IP, AP, PY, RB, SX. This IRR will also impact DN0195 Payment Issue Date.

April 27, 2020 Claims Committee Meeting Discussion:

* 1. IRR CLM901 – Expand events that require Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192) (Monica)
     1. Because of the comment in the guide, decided that a need was there to go back to Council
     2. Will be presenting to Council on Thursday
     3. If Council grants permission, a workgroup may be formed to go forward
        1. Linda Yon – Alaska’s need is for the Benefit Payment Issue Date on defined MTCs but not trying to require Payment Issue Date (meaning the Payment Segment) on all
     4. Still have time to change the document before meeting with Council

Gregg, Monica, and MaryBeth are attempting to locate any old notes where this has been worked on before related to Payment Issue Date or Benefit Payment Issue Date on background of why the note is in the guide but haven’t been able to locate anything.

April 30, 2020 Council Meeting:

**Request from the Council:**

The Claims Committee is coming to the EDI Council for approval to address this IRR because of a comment in the current DP Rule of the R3.1 guide:

“The Steering Committee/EDI Council directed that Payment Issue Date (DN0195) (and the corresponding Benefit Payment Issue Date DN0192) was established for specified transactions only (IP, AP, PY, RB, SX or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes) and that individual weekly check information would not be reported.”

We have attempted to locate historical notes on how/why/when this decision was made by the Council, but have been unable to locate more information.

**Next Steps:**


If the Council grants permission for the Claims Committee to move forward with a solution, we will likely form a workgroup to address this IRR. Involvement from the Jurisdiction community and the Industry community will be critical because the reporting of both Payment Issue Dates for some MTC’s requires coordination from all parties.

May 27, 2020 Claims Committee Meeting Discussion:

1. IRR CLM901 – Expand events that require Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192)
2. Needed to go back to the Council for approval. The Council gave the go ahead.
3. Next Steps: a workgroup is being formed and will start when CLM891 wraps up.

July 28, 2020 Workgroup Meeting #1:

* Candace Gray
* Denise Allain
* Shannen Frieze
* Lisa Kerns
* Linda Yon
* Kelly King
* Monica Blackwell
* Saurabh Kushwaha
* George Poulin
* Tina Queen
* Kristy Robinson
* Background from Linda Yon:
  + Payment Issue Date (DN0195) and Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192) were defined the same, meant to be the same, were only meant to be for those DN's. Ended up giving them different names and DN's b/c they were in different segments so the error message had to be able to refer to the specific DNs for rejection message purposes. This was broken over time with other pieces that became required.
  + Additionally, systems have evolved since when this was first created. What Alaska is saying now (saw in FL a lot too). That Benefit Payment Issue Date is being reported on transactions for which it's not required. Alaska would like to see it to be required as Alaska law does require a payment issue date upon issuance of a check.
  + Also note that Alaska is not asking for payment segments on all.
* Notes from a 2005 Claims & Systems Committee Meeting were located and provided at the workgroup meeting as well:



* With this background information provided, the workgroup will set out to determine how to potentially amend the definition to further open it up to other benefit types. This will be done by first evaluating the current definition against the proposed and how the additional MTC’s can or cannot work for this request.
* BENEFIT PAYMENT ISSUE DATE - DN0192 (Definition notes courtesy of Monica)
  + **Current Definition:**

For IP, AP, PY, RB: The date that the check that initiated the MTC is officially surrendered during business hours to a letter delivery organization, is available for pickup per agreement with the employee, or the date the funds are made available to the employee via electronic funds transfer (EFT). For SX MTCs, the Benefit Payment Issue Date is the date the last indemnity check was issued or the date the EFT funds were made available to the employee prior to the suspension. For CO transactions that have an MTC of IP, AP, PY, or RB: the date of the check or the date of EFT funds availability that initiated the IP, AP, PY, or RB that received a TE acknowledgment code.

* + **Proposed Definition:** For all MTCs that are initiating, changing, increasing, decreasing, reinstating, terminating or suspending a Benefit Type Code: AP,AB, IP, RB, **EP, ER**, CB, SX, PX,  PY, CA,**SU**: The date that  the check that initiated the MTC is officially surrendered during business hours to a letter delivery organization, is available for pickup per  agreement with the employee, or the date the funds are made available to the employee via electronic funds transfer (EFT).

For CO transactions, the date of the check or the date of the EFT funds availability that initiating the transaction that received a TE acknowledgement code.

* + **Current DP Rule:**

The Benefit Payment Issue Date is in the Benefits Segment. The equivalent of this data element in the Payments Segment is Payment Issue Date (DN0195). Refer to Variable Segment Population Rules (Benefits Segment and Payments Segment) in Section 4. The Steering Committee/EDI Council directed that Payment Issue Date (DN0195) (and the corresponding Benefit Payment Issue Date DN0192) was established for specified transactions only (IP, AP, PY, RB, SX or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes) and that individual weekly check information would not be reported.

For IP, AP, RB MTC’s when No Payment Due - No Payment Issued - When no payment is due the claimant because Actual Reduced Earnings (DN0124), Deemed Reduced Earnings (DN0147) and/or Benefit Adjustment Weekly Amount(s) (DN0093) have resulted in a Net Weekly Amount (DN0087) of zero, the MTC Date should be used as the Benefit Payment Issue Date.

* + **Proposed DP Rule:**

The Benefit Payment Issue Date is in the Benefits Segment. When no Payment Due – No Payment Issued – When no payment is due to the claimant because Actual Reduced Earnings (DN0124), Deemed Reduced Earnings (DN0147) and/or Benefit Adjustment Weekly Amount(s) (DN0093) have resulted in a Net Weekly Amount (DN0087) of zero, the MTC Date should be used as the Benefit Payment Issue Date.

Pg 4.20 EP/ER Population Rules Chart: DN0192: Benefit Payment Issue Date in Chart For BTCs: 210, 220, 221, 230, 242; 250; 251; 270 Current: Not Required Proposed: Issue Date of Salary in Lieu of Compensation Check for MTC EP or ER

* Maintenance Type Codes being considered and considerations as they relate:
  + MTC’s that already exist in DN0192
    - IP – Initial Payment
    - AP – Acquired/Payment
    - PY – Payment Report
    - RB – Reinstatement of Benefits
    - SX – Full Suspension
  + MTC’s being requested (Event transactions)
    - AB – Add Concurrent Benefit Type
    - EP – Employer Paid
      * Possible issue = Payment Issue Date is not always known by the CA when benefits are paid by the employer
    - ER – Employer Reinstatement
      * Possible issue = Payment Issue Date is not always known by the CA when benefits are paid by the employer
    - CB – Change in Benefit Type
      * Would like Alaska to weigh in on some scenarios related to CB/CA. Especially in scenarios where a transaction rejects and now the IP or CB are 3 weeks behind in payments made, does getting the most recent date of the check made cause an issue for missing the first period of payment date?
    - PX – Partial Suspension
      * Possible issue = there are times where benefits are suspended but no check is issued to provide a date. This can also be the case for the full suspension (SX)
    - CA – Change in Benefit Amount
      * Would like Alaska to weigh in on some scenarios related to CB/CA. Especially in scenarios where a transaction rejects and now the IP or CB are 3 weeks behind in payments made, does getting the most recent date of the check cause an issue for missing the first period of payment date?
    - SU – Sync Up
      * Notes from Tina: Will need to get into the SU Guidelines a bit more on this one. SU MTC is on every benefit type. The benefit segment is constructed weird. The benefit period start date is the most recent date. The struggle will be with what Benefit Payment Date is being looked for? Would exclude it if the jurisdiction is trying to get the payment date from dates of payment earlier than the most recent.
* Additional notes for consideration:
  + For editing purposes, would check for valid date formatting and for the date of payment to fall after date of injury, but otherwise, no other editing against the date provided.
  + If it was employer paid benefits, this may not be easily obtainable.
  + Would also need to consider impacts of Net to Zero. Could be suspending after a Net to Zero period, and if so, which payment date would you be bringing onto it?
* Next workgroup meeting is scheduled for August 11 at 11:30am Central Time. Gregg has sent out updated calendar invites for the updated block of time.

August 11,, 2020 Community Notes from NY (Patty Gray):

* New York State supports this IRR and has specific cases in which the DN0192 - Benefit Payment Issue Date is missing on the SROI-CA when needed.

August 11, 2020 Workgroup Meeting (Canceled)

August 18, 2020 Workgroup Meeting #2

* Candace Gray
* Monica Blackwell
* Denise Allain
* Jenna Marshall
* Brenda Rinehimer
* Kelly King
* Shannen Frieze
* Kristy Robinson
* George Poulin
* Alexis Newman

(Any changes to previously provided notes or definitions are highlighted in red.)

* Comments from Alexis Newman (Alaska) following 7/28/2020 meeting overview:
  + Upon review of the feedback from the last workgroup meeting, to include the breakdown of additional MTCs that were taken into consideration, Alaska does not have a need for the Benefit Payment Issue Date to be present on the SROI ER, EP, PX, AB, or SU. It was instead determined that Alaska’s needs would be met with adding just the SROI CA and CB to the definition and DP rule for Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192), as well as adding an update to Section 4.33 - Error Correction Process Guidelines to include these two MTCs.
  + Alaska confirms they are receiving this data today. Expanding the definition will just allow them to make it a requirement to meet their needs.
  + Alaska also discussed the possibility of receiving this information in the Payment Segment on a SROI CA/CB.
    - As noted by George Poulin, when payments advance, the Payment Segment may still be populated. With automation on CA/CBs, the logic may not be doable to try to isolate that it was directed to a specific payment.
* Additional notes for consideration (continued):
  + For editing purposes, would check for valid date formatting and for the date of payment to fall after date of injury, but otherwise, no other editing against the date provided.
  + ~~If it was employer paid benefits, this may not be easily obtainable.~~
  + Would also need to consider impacts of Net to Zero. Could be suspending after a Net to Zero period, and if so, which payment date would you be bringing onto it?
  + ERT - 0192 is blank so it can be modified by the jurisdiction
  + There will need to be a change to the color in the Matrix
* **The following page (pg 6) provides for the most updated proposed changes to the definitions as discussed in the workgroup meeting 8/18/2020.** The workgroup will now seek any feedback from the Claims Committee Community for the proposed changes to IRR CLM901 and will be presenting during the 8/19/2020 Claims Committee Meeting. Please review and provide any feedback you may have.
* Next workgroup meeting is scheduled for August 25th at 11:30am Central Time. If no additional feedback received prior to that time, the meeting may be canceled to submit for 14day Review.

**IRR CLM901 - Expand events that require Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192)**

**Business Requirement / Issue:** Alaska needs Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192) for additional MTCs per their statutory definition.  
  
**Requester's Proposed Resolution**: Require Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192) when compensation has begun, or has been increased, decreased, suspended, terminated, resumed or changed in type.

**Background: Alaska has entered an IRR to the Claims Committee asking that the definition of DN0192 Benefit Payment Issue Date be expanded so it can be required on additional MTC’s. Alaska needs this change to meet their statutory requirements.**

**Today, the DN0192 Benefit Payment Issue Date is only allowed on MTC’s IP, AP, PY, RB, SX. This IRR will also impact DN0195 Payment Issue Date.**

* BENEFIT PAYMENT ISSUE DATE - DN0192 (Updated definition notes):
  + **Proposed Definition:**

For IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, CB: The date that the check that initiated the MTC is officially surrendered during business hours to a letter delivery organization, is available for pickup per agreement with the employee, or the date the funds are made available to the employee via electronic funds transfer (EFT). For SX MTCs, the Benefit Payment Issue Date is the date the last indemnity check was issued or the date the EFT funds were made available to the employee prior to the suspension. For CO transactions that have an MTC of IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, or CB: the date of the check or the date of EFT funds availability that initiated the IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, or CB that received a TE acknowledgment code.

* + **Proposed DP Rule:**

The Benefit Payment Issue Date is in the Benefits Segment. The equivalent of this data element in the Payments Segment is Payment Issue Date (DN0195) when the Payment Segment is present. Refer to Variable Segment Population Rules (Benefits Segment and Payments Segment) in Section 4. The Steering Committee/EDI Council directed that Payment Issue Date (DN0195) (and the corresponding Benefit Payment Issue Date DN0192) was established for specified transactions only (IP, AP, PY, RB, SX or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes) and that individual weekly check information would not be reported.

For IP, AP, RB, CA, CB MTC’s when No Payment Due - No Payment Issued - When no payment is due the claimant because Actual Reduced Earnings (DN0124), Deemed Reduced Earnings (DN0147) and/or Benefit Adjustment Weekly Amount(s) (DN0093) have resulted in a Net Weekly Amount (DN0087) of zero, the MTC Date should be used as the Benefit Payment Issue Date.

* **Proposed update to Section 4.33 Error Correction Process Guidelines:**

7. A jurisdiction should not TR an IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, or CB transaction because of calculation error(s) in the financial segments. Claim administrators are unable to change an incorrect Benefit Type Amount Paid and/or Benefit Payment Issue Date because that is the actual amount/date on the check and that information cannot be altered. These types of errors may require manual processing. If the Benefit Type Amount Paid and/or Benefit Payment Issue Date require modification by the claim administrator, they can be reported on an 02 change after the adjustment check has been issued.

August 19, 2020 Claims Committee Meeting Discussion:

* 1. IRR CLM901 – Expand events that require Benefit Payment Issue Date (Candace)
     + Workgroup met on 7/28/2020 and 8/18/2020
     + Alaska is asking that the definition of DN0192 Benefit Payment Issue Date be expanded so it can be required on additional MTC’s (specifically CA & CB). Alaska needs this change to meet their statutory requirements.
     + Concerns were raised for the CA in how some scenarios may cause issues with getting the correct data.
     + Workgroup will meet again on 8/25/2020

August 24, 2020 Workgroup Meeting #3

* Candace Gray
* Alexis Newman
* Denise Allain
* Jenna Marshall
* Jason Kreps
* Linda Yon
* Tina Queen
* Brenda Rinehimer
* George Poulin
* Kelly King
* Monica Blackwell
* During Claims Committee Meeting, concerns were raised over adding SROI CA to the definition as there are likely scenarios that have not been taken into consideration that will impact the data provided.
* Goal: Identify risks associated with some scenarios that may result in Benefit Payment Issue Date not being the date intended to be reported (example: rejected SROI CA that contains one date; following transaction that contains a more current date) and document if this still works for Alaska’s needs.
* Current definition is confusing
  + Sx gets lost within the definition
    - Workgroup agreed to bullet the definition to clearly identify the differences.
* CA in general could be a problem because it’s going to be harder to figure out the exact check. Could be a rejection and another payment was made. No good correlation between the payment and the event.
  + Possibility to have a CA where you didn't issue a check
  + Workgroup agreed the most recent check issued for that benefit type would suffice on a CA.
* CB - can't be under the same definition either because there are two benefits being reported (stopped and started). If we could change this to be a bulleted list instead of one big text block.
  + Workgroup agreed if ending TT and starting TP and if the CB fails, and another check goes out, it still works with the most recent payment date all the time.
* Would like to see Benefit Payment Issue having one definition.
* Must remember to pass along to Systems – the master ERT, The Event Benefits Conditional Tab has an IAIABC note in it for DN0192 that references these MTCs and that note will need to be modified if this IRR passes.
  + Must be Conditional (MC, EC) or NA (Not Applicable) based on Data Population Rules in Dictionary (Section 6). If MC or EC is applied then the condition must indicate that DN0192 Benefit Payment Issue Date can only be mandatory for IP, AP, PY, RB, SX or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes: Per DP Rule: Payment Issue Date (DN0195) The Steering Committee/EDI Council directed that Payment Issue Date (DN0195) was established for specified transactions only (IP, AP, PY, RB, or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes) and that individual weekly check information would not be reported.
* Must add: Upon approval of this IRR, Systems will update the master ERT, The Event Benefits Conditional Tab has an IAIABC note in it for DN0192 that references these MTCs and that note will need to be modified if this IRR passes.
* Next workgroup meeting is scheduled for September 1st at 11:30am Central Time.
  + Next meeting agenda:
    - Review the definition for DN0195 Payment Issue Date
    - Review Section 4 Rules for Benefits & Payments

**IRR CLM901 - Expand events that require Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192)**

* Upon approval of this IRR, Systems will need to update the master ERT, The Event Benefits Conditional Tab has an IAIABC note in it for DN0192 that references these MTCs and that note will need to be modified if this IRR passes.
* Must also pass along to Systems - the master ERT, The Event Benefits Conditional Tab has an IAIABC note in it for DN0192 that references these MTCs and that note will need to be modified if this IRR passes.
  + Must be Conditional (MC, EC) or NA (Not Applicable) based on Data Population Rules in Dictionary (Section 6). If MC or EC is applied then the condition must indicate that DN0192 Benefit Payment Issue Date can only be mandatory for IP, AP, PY, RB, SX or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes: Per DP Rule: Payment Issue Date (DN0195) The Steering Committee/EDI Council directed that Payment Issue Date (DN0195) was established for specified transactions only (IP, AP, PY, RB, or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes) and that individual weekly check information would not be reported.
* **Current Definition:**

For IP, AP, PY, RB: The date that the check that initiated the MTC is officially surrendered during business hours to a letter delivery organization, is available for pickup per agreement with the employee, or the date the funds are made available to the employee via electronic funds transfer (EFT). For SX MTCs, the Benefit Payment Issue Date is the date the last indemnity check was issued or the date the EFT funds were made available to the employee prior to the suspension. For CO transactions that have an MTC of IP, AP, PY, or RB: the date of the check or the date of EFT funds availability that initiated the IP, AP, PY, or RB that received a TE acknowledgment code.

* **Proposed Definition:** 
  + For IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, CB: The date that the check that initiated the MTC is officially surrendered during business hours to a letter delivery organization, is available for pickup per agreement with the employee, or the date the funds are made available to the employee via electronic funds transfer (EFT).
    - If the IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, CB initially rejects and the subsequent check is issued, the Benefit Payment Issue Date will be the date of the most recent check at the time the MTC is sent.
  + For CO transactions that have an MTC of IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, or CB: the date of the check or the date of EFT funds availability for ~~that~~ ~~initiated~~ the IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, or CB that received a TE acknowledgment code.
  + For SX MTCs, the Benefit Payment Issue Date is the date the last indemnity check was issued or the date the EFT funds were made available to the employee prior to the suspension.
* **Proposed DP Rule:**

~~The Benefit Payment Issue Date is in the Benefits Segment.~~ ~~The equivalent of this data element in the Payments Segment is Payment Issue Date (DN0195). Refer to Variable Segment Population Rules (Benefits Segment and Payments Segment) in Section 4. The Steering Committee/EDI Council directed that Payment Issue Date (DN0195) (and the corresponding Benefit Payment Issue Date DN0192) was established for specified transactions only (IP, AP, PY, RB, SX or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes) and that individual weekly check information would not be reported.~~

The Benefit Payment Issue Date may not be equal to the Payment Issue Date (DN0195). The two DNs should not be edited against each other.

For IP, AP, RB, CA, CB MTC’s when No Payment Due - No Payment Issued - When no payment is due the claimant because Actual Reduced Earnings (DN0124), Deemed Reduced Earnings (DN0147) and/or Benefit Adjustment Weekly Amount(s) (DN0093) have resulted in a Net Weekly Amount (DN0087) of zero, the MTC Date should be used as the Benefit Payment Issue Date.

* **Proposed update to Section 4.33 Error Correction Process Guidelines:**

7. A jurisdiction should not TR an IP, AP, PY, RB, CA, or CB transaction because of calculation error(s) in the financial segments. Claim administrators are unable to change an incorrect Benefit Type Amount Paid and/or Benefit Payment Issue Date because that is the actual amount/date on the check and that information cannot be altered. These types of errors may require manual processing. If the Benefit Type Amount Paid and/or Benefit Payment Issue Date require modification by the claim administrator, they can be reported on an 02 change after the adjustment check has been issued.

September 1, 2020 Workgroup Meeting #4

* Candace Gray
* Jason Kreps
* Tina Queen
* Kelly King
* Jenna Marshall
* Alexis Newman
* Brandon Gemma
* Linda Yon
* Kristy Robinson
* Brenda Rinehimer
* George Poulin
* Denise Allain
* Meeting agenda:
  + Review the definition for DN0195 Payment Issue Date
    - Only modification was indentation to more clearly separate rules for the different transaction types.
  + Review the DP rule for DN0195 Payment Issue Date
    - Modified DP Rule language (see next page for changes highlighted in red or strikethrough used to remove outdated language)
    - Indentation used to more clearly separate rules for the different transaction types.
  + Review Section 4 Rules for Benefits & Payments
    - No changes noted.
  + Determined upon approval, these scenarios need to be updated:
    - SROI CB scenarios
      * 5-4-3
        + Row 331 – for 070, insert BPID as 07/12/2012
        + Row 346 – for 050, insert BPID as 07/12/2012
      * 5-6-1
        + Row 153 – for 070, insert BPID as 07/19/2012
        + Row 166 – for 050, insert BPID as 07/12/2012\*

Using the Benefit Payment Thru Date

* + - * 5-6-2
        + Row 153 – for 030, insert BPID as 08/10/2012
        + Row 166 – for 050, insert BPID as 07/12/2012\*

Using the Benefit Payment Thru Date

* + - * 5-6-3
        + Row 164 – for 070, insert BPID as 08/24/2012
        + Row 177 – for 050, insert BPID as 07/01/2012\*

Using the Benefit Payment Thru Date

* + - * 5-6-15
        + Row 156 – for 020, insert BPID as 09/13/2012

Using the Benefit Payment Thru Date

* + - * 5-6-18
        + Row 152 – for 020, insert BPID as 08/12/2012
        + Row 192 - for 050, insert BPID as 07/12/2012\*

Using the Benefit Payment Thru Date

* + - SROI CA scenarios
      * 5-6-4
        + Row 159 – for 050, insert BPID as 07/05/2020
      * 5-6-5
        + Row 153 – for 050, insert BPID as 11/16/2012
      * 5-6-7
        + Row 153 – for 050, insert BPID as 08/24/2012
      * 5-6-12
        + Row 315 – for 050, insert BPID as 08/28/2012
      * 5-6-19
        + Row 172 – for 050, insert BPID as 05/12/2017
      * 5-9-5
        + Row 327 – for 050, insert BPID as 08/30/2012

**PAYMENT ISSUE DATE – DN0195**

(Changes from original definition and/or DP Rule highlighted in red or ~~strikethrough~~ is used to remove language)

* **Proposed Definition:**

For IP, AP, PY, RB: The date that the check that initiated the MTC is officially surrendered during business hours to a letter delivery organization or the date the funds are made available to the employee via electronic funds transfer (EFT), or is available for pick up per agreement with the employee.

For CO transactions that have an MTCC of IP, AP, PY, or RB: the date of the check or the date of EFT funds availability that initiated the IP, AP, PY, or RB that received a TE acknowledgment code.

* **Proposed DP Rule:**

The Payment Issue Date is in the Payments Segment. The Payment Issue Date may not be equal to the Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192). The two DNs should not be edited against each other. ~~The equivalent of this data element in the Benefits Segment is Benefit Payment Issue Date (DN0192).~~ Refer to Variable Segment Population Rules (Benefits Segment and Payments Segment) in Section 4.

The Steering Committee/EDI Council directed that Payment Issue Date (DN0195) was established for specified transactions only (IP, AP, PY, RB, or any corresponding 02 or CO for those specified Maintenance Type Codes) and that individual weekly check information would not be reported.

* + Next workgroup meeting is scheduled for September 8th at 11:30am Central Time.
    - Next meeting agenda:
      * Proof final scenarios and final IRR draft
      * See about getting at least 1 CB and 1 CA scenario worked out to include the dates